

DELIVERY ORDER

FINAL

1. CONTRACT NO. N00178-04-D-4092	2. DELIVERY ORDER NO. 000202	3. EFFECTIVE DATE ORIG 07/06/2004 MOD 04/04/2005	4. PURCHASE REQUEST NO. N63394-05-MR-00855
-------------------------------------	---------------------------------	--	---

5. ISSUED BY NSWC, PORT HUENEME DIVISION Kittie S. Ellison 111 4363 Missile Way, BLDG 1217 Port Hueneme, CA 93043-4307 cathleen.ellison@navy.mil 805-228-0601 Ext.	CODE N63394	6. ADMINISTERED BY DCMA VIRGINIA 10500 BATTLEVIEW PARKWAY, SUITE 200 MANASSAS, VA 20109-2342	CODE S2404A
---	-------------	---	-------------

7. CONTRACTOR Novonics Corporation 2001 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1105 Arlington, VA 22202 TIN: 33-0360394	CODE OZF85	FACILITY	8. DELIVERY DATE See Section F
			9. CLOSING DATE/TIME
			SET ASIDE TYPE
			10. MAIL INVOICES TO See Section G

11. SHIP TO See Section D	12. PAYMENT WILL BE MADE BY DFAS Columbus Center, South Entitlement Operations P.O. Box 182264 Columbus, OH 43213	CODE HQ0338
------------------------------	--	-------------

13. TYPE OF ORDER	D	X	This delivery order/call is issued on another Government agency or in accordance with and subject to terms and conditions of above-numbered contract.
-------------------	---	---	---

ACCEPTANCE. THE CONTRACTOR HEREBY ACCEPTS THE OFFER REPRESENTED BY THE NUMBERED PURCHASE ORDER AS IT MAY PREVIOUSLY HAVE BEEN OR IS NOW MODIFIED, SUBJECT TO ALL OF THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS SET FORTH, AND AGREES TO PERFORM THE SAME.

Novonics Corporation	Kevin Burk, Contract Administrat
_____ NAME OF CONTRACTOR	_____ SIGNATURE
_____ TYPED NAME AND TITLE	_____ DATE SIGNED

14. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DATA
See Section G

15. ITEM NO.	16. SCHEDULE OF SUPPLIES/SERVICES	17. QUANTITY ORDERED/ACCEPTED*	18. UNIT	19. UNIT PRICE	20. AMOUNT
See the Following Pages					

*If quantity accepted by the Government is same as quantity ordered, indicate by X. If different, enter actual quantity accepted below quantity ordered and encircle.	21. UNITED STATES OF AMERICA By: Kimberly A. Barnard CONTRACTING/ORDERING OFFICER	04/04/2005	22. TOTAL \$358,119
---	---	------------	------------------------

SECTION	DESCRIPTION	SECTION	DESCRIPTION
B	SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES/COSTS	H	SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS
C	DESCRIPTION/SPECS/WORK STATEMENT	I	CONTRACT CLAUSES
D	PACKAGING AND MARKING	J	LIST OF ATTACHMENTS
E	INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE		
F	DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE		
G	CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA		

CONTRACT NO. N00178-04-D-4092	DELIVERY ORDER NO. 000202	PAGE 2 of 15
----------------------------------	------------------------------	-----------------

GENERAL INFORMATION

Purpose of this modification is to extend CLIN 0002, SLIN 0002AA Period of Performance at no additional cost to the government and revise SECTION C - Descriptions and Specifications.

SECTION B SUPPLIES OR SERVICES AND PRICES

CLIN - SUPPLIES OR SERVICES

For FFP Items:

Item	Supplies/Services Qty	Unit	Unit Price	Total Price
0002				\$358,119
0002AA	Fleet Training Architecture and Systems Reliability and Capabilities Study (OPN)	1.0 Lot	\$108,119	\$108,119
0002AB	Fleet Training Architecture and Systems Reliability and Capabilities Study (RDT&E)	1.0 Lot	\$250,000	\$250,000

CLIN 0002 PRICING

The following estimated direct labor categories and hours, in addition to the Government Estimate for Travel, Material and ODC's are to be used for pricing the ceiling for CLIN 0002.

The following estimated direct labor categories and hours are provided as follows:

Labor Category	Labor Hours
*Senior Project Analysis	230
*Senior Engineer	1584
*Senior Engineer	1584
*Engineer	1584

Note: *indicates Key Personnel

OTHER DIRECT COSTS

Travel:

6 Round trips between San Diego, CA and VA Beach, VA (2 persons, 5 days each)

3 Round trips between San Diego, CA and Wallops Island, VA (1 person, 5 days each)

3 Round trips between San Diego, CA and Washington, DC (1 person, 3 days each)

PERSONNEL QUALIFICATIONS

The contractor is responsible for providing personnel with expertise in the Task areas specified in this SOW.

Key Personnel. The contractor must identify key personnel in the technical proposal.

Skills. Personnel assigned to this task must possess skills and recent experience that demonstrate an ability to provide quality service and support in the task areas specified in the SOW.

Functional Skills. Personnel must be capable of working independently and with demonstrated working knowledge and recent experience that demonstrate an ability to provide quality service and support in the task areas specified in the SOW. The lead individual(s) shall be familiar with policies & procedures, as well as have working knowledge of the non-technical effort.

SECTION C DESCRIPTIONS AND SPECIFICATIONS

Fleet Training Architecture and Systems

Reliability and Capabilities Study (Revised 3/28/05)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background and Objective

Under the direction and guidance of Commander, Fleet Forces Command (COMFLTFORCOM), Commander 3rd Fleet (COMTHIRDFLT) executed the Navy's first Multi-Battle Group In-port Exercise (MBGIE) from 23-27 February 2004. MBGIE 04-1 was a distributed exercise that used the Navy's in-port training architecture and a realistic synthetic battlespace environment to provide operational and tactical training to multiple Carrier Strike Groups (CSGs). USS JOHN C STENNIS (CVN 74), USS CARL VINSON (CVN 70), and USS HARRY S TRUMAN (CVN 75) CSG ship, air wing, and staff personnel participated in MBGIE 04-01 from their ships or equivalent mock-ups at three separate Fleet Concentration Areas (FCAs): San Diego, CA; Pacific Northwest (PACNORWEST; Bremerton and Everett, WA); and Norfolk, VA. In addition, EP-3 and Fast Attack Submarine crews participated from unit simulators in Fallon, NV and Norfolk, VA/San Diego, CA, respectively.

MBGIE –4-01 was the first training event to use the Navy's distributed fleet training network. The primary elements of this network are the AN/USQ-T46(V) Battle Force Tactical Training (BFTT) system and the Joint Semi-Automated Forces (JSAF) system. The BFTT system is designed to provide coordinated, realistic, high stress combat team training for war fighting proficiency, and training for fleet personnel to achieve and maintain readiness within the surface and subsurface forces of the Atlantic and Pacific Fleets. The BFTT interactive war-fighting environment includes all naval elements. It connects ships located in various homeports via secure communications gateways and allows them to train in a realistic environment as if they were part of a battle force in the same geographic region. BFTT equipment is being installed on numerous classes of surface ships, along with related systems AN/USQ-T47(V) BFTT (Battle Force) Electronic Warfare Trainer (BEWT) and SM-908(P)/U thru SM-928(P)/U Trainer, Simulator - Stimulator System (TSSS) [formerly AN/USQ-T48(V) Generic Naval Simulator Stimulator (GNSS)].

JSAF is a High Level Architecture (HLA) simulation that provides a highly detailed, entity level representation of real world platforms, weapons systems, behaviors and interactions. JSAF is the baseline simulation within the JSAF Federation, which includes a number of C4I interfaces that translate the activities within the simulation to real world C4I systems. The simulation creates an environment in which the war fighter is fully engaged in a realistic representation of the operational environment to conduct training. The constructive (friendly and opposition) forces are used to augment live force participation. The principal Blue representations required are those units found in a typical CSG. The simulation also represents additional platforms, such as CSG forces, Amphibious Task Force units, USAF aircraft, shipping, and national sensor platforms. The simulation represents Opposition Forces (OPFOR) using the order of battle of a typical third tier nation equipped primarily with late generation Soviet-era (Soviet, Chinese and North Korean origination) military equipment.

MGIE 04-01, and the fleet training architecture used to execute this event, continued the process of expanding fleet training capabilities since the initial integration of BFTT and JSAF during the USS NIMITZ Battle Group In-port Exercise (BGIE) in October 2002 and the USS NIMITZ Battle Group/USS BOXER Amphibious Ready Group Maritime Group In-port Training (MGIT) event in January 2003. While these and subsequent events were highly successful, with each event building upon results achieved during previous events, lessons learned and architectural issues have been encountered and compiled during execution of each event. The resulting data has shown great differences in system reliability, availability, capabilities, and limitations between ship classes/configurations and geographic locations during execution of training events.

To ensure and assist the continued expansion of overall fleet training capabilities, particularly looking forward to the successful integration of these capabilities into the joint and coalition training environments, COMFLTFORCOM and NAVSEA PEO IWS 1A5 have identified the requirement to undertake a study of the Navy fleet training architecture, systems, and processes to assess their current reliability, availability, capabilities, and limitations. This study must address both technical (e.g., system configurations and architecture) and non-technical (e.g., training programs and processes) factors and their respective impacts on fleet training event success. An output of the study must include recommendations for changes to

systems, programs, or processes that will achieve the greatest “bang for the buck” for improvement and expansion of fleet training capabilities.

2.0 TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS

2.1 Scope of Work. The Contractor shall provide investigation, analysis, test, and evaluation support to PEO IWS 1A5 in support of a study of Navy fleet training architecture, systems, and processes to assess their current reliability, availability, capabilities, and limitations. These efforts shall be directed at preparing for, conducting, analyzing results, and reporting on investigative and test efforts designed to identify and assess factors impacting fleet training event success.

2.2 Statement of Work. Services will be requested and controlled by means of specific objectives and constraints described within a primary Task and related subordinate tasks. Subordinate tasks within the Scope of Work may be added, deleted, and re-defined, throughout the designated Period-of-Performance (POP) as necessary to carry out the Government Client's mission. Any such proposed changes will be negotiated with the Contractor to determine cost and schedule impacts prior to implementation.

Task – Fleet Training Architecture & Systems Study Project Support

The contractor shall support PHD NSWC Detachment San Diego (ICSTD) in its role as the PEO IWS 1A5 Test Director and lead agent for assuring BFTT and fleet training architectures developed under PEO IWS 1A5 programs meet fleet training needs and requirements. An integral function in this role is to ensure and assist the continued expansion of overall fleet training capabilities, particularly looking forward to the successful integration of these capabilities into the joint and coalition training environments. In this vein, COMFLTFORCOM and NAVSEA PEO IWS 1A5 have identified the requirement to undertake a study of the Navy fleet training architecture, systems, and processes to assess their current reliability, availability, capabilities, and limitations. This study shall address both technical (e.g., system configurations and architecture) and non-technical (e.g., training programs and processes) factors and their respective impacts on fleet training event success. An output of the study shall be a report detailing the results of analyzing those factors and their impacts as well as recommendations for system, program, or process changes that are estimated to achieve the greatest “bang for the buck” for improvement and expansion of fleet training capabilities. Specific efforts covered by this SOW Task include, but are not limited to, the following:

Stakeholder Identification. The contractor shall review current fleet training commands, organizations, and activities, and their roles and responsibilities related to fleet training programs and events, to assist in the identification of key stakeholders for the fleet training network and architecture, and therefore the key stakeholders for this study. Based upon this review, the contractor shall recommend those key stakeholders whose input should be solicited regarding the objectives and focus of the study. These recommendations shall be provided to the Government and be the basis for identification of the key stakeholders. Based upon this identification, the contractor shall conduct an inquiry of stakeholders to determine their interpretation of fleet training system and architecture reliability and their concerns regarding the current system's/architecture's reliability and ability to support BGIE, MBGIE, and joint training events.

System Capabilities Factor Identification. The contractor shall identify key factors, both technical and non-technical, negatively impacting current fleet training architecture reliability and capabilities as defined by the stakeholders. This investigation shall include an analysis of lessons learned and issues compiled from completed BGIE/MBGIE events and discussions with stakeholders, BFTT and JSAF system experts, PEO IWS 1A5 Fleet Liaisons, and other subject matter experts that may be identified through execution of this effort. Based upon this investigation, the contractor shall recommend a prospective subset of those key factors that would potentially provide the greatest opportunity for effecting changes that will significantly improve fleet training capabilities and reliability. These recommendations shall be provided to the Government and be the basis for identification of the key factors to be included in the study. Based upon this identification, the contractor shall determine the criteria for investigating and analyzing the relationship of these factors to fleet training capabilities and reliability. Possible data collection methods for each factor shall be identified. The following potential capabilities/reliability factors are provide as examples; they are not meant to be an inclusive, definitive list:

§ JSAF/BFTT/AEGIS Combat Training System (ACTS) integration stability

§ BFTT system stability

§ ACTS system stability

§ Fleet training network stability

§ Combat system training mode stability

§ Frequency of shipboard training system use

§ Differences in shipboard use of system initialization procedures

§ Differences in BFTT training program for shipboard operators

Measurement and Analysis Plan Generation. Based upon identified key reliability and capability factors, the contractor shall develop a measurement and analysis plan. This plan shall include, but not be limited to, identification of factors being assessed as part of the fleet training architecture reliability and capabilities study, the methodology to be used for studying and assessing each factor, data collection requirements, a schedule for data collection, identification of resources outside this SOW required to perform the data collection (e.g., test site scheduling and coordination, test support, data analysis support). A preliminary submission of the plan shall be No Later Than (NLT) four (4) months after contract award. The Government will review the draft plan within four (4) weeks and, if necessary, provide review comments to the contractor. If specifically requested, the contractor shall respond to government review comments within two (2) weeks. Subsequent submissions of the plan shall be as the situation dictates throughout execution of this task. Examples of situations that would require subsequent submissions include: specific government request pursuant to review of a previous submission, and incorporation of significant changes resulting from identification and scheduling of test events supporting technical factor investigation. A final version of the plan, incorporating all changes associated with government review comments and all test events, shall be submitted NLT completion of the Period of Performance (POP) of this SOW.

Measurement and Analysis Plan Execution. Plan execution shall include all efforts required to successfully implement the data collection and analysis requirements contained within the final Measurement and Analysis Plan. The contractor shall coordinate all data collection events with the PEO IWS 1A5 Test Director or his designated representative. To the greatest extent possible, these events shall be performed in conjunction with other fleet training test or training events. More specific requirements for these efforts are provided in the following subparagraphs:

a) Trouble Report Generation. Generate and submit Hardware Trouble Reports (HTRs) and Software Trouble Reports (STRs), as required, to document training system deficiencies identified during test conduct. The contractor shall be readily available for explanation of TRs developed as a result of these efforts.

b) Daily Test Report Generation. The contractor shall provide daily test reports to a distribution list provided by the PEO IWS 1A5 Test Director during test event conduct. Test reports shall be in a format determined by the contractor (e-mail is sufficient) and include, as a minimum, the following:

§ all test events attempted and personnel present during the shift/event;

§ program versions of all training and combat system elements used during the shift/event;

§ results of testing during the shift/event, including problems or events requiring immediate attention and/or resolution;

§ recommended plan for testing during next shift;

Monthly Status Reports. The contractor shall provide monthly status reports to a distribution list provided by the PEO IWS 1A5 Test Director during the period of performance, beginning one (1) month from contract award. Status reports shall be in a format agreed upon between the Government and the contractor, and include, as a minimum, the following:

§ Progress achieved and significant activities completed since the last report

§ Projected deviations from previously provided schedules

§ Description and schedule of significant activities planned for the following month

§ Identification of significant issues/concerns

Fleet Training Architecture Reliability and Capabilities Study Initial Report. The contractor shall provide an initial reports, one each covering Technical Factors and Non-Technical Factors, to a distribution list provided by the PEO IWS 1B Test Director on the results of execution of the measurement and analysis plan. These reports shall include, but not be limited to, results of data collection efforts; and analysis of the data with

regard to system reliability, capabilities, and limitations; and recommendations for changes to systems, programs, or processes that will achieve the greatest “bang for the buck” for improvement and expansion of fleet training capabilities. Submission of the Non-Technical Initial Report shall be NLT 18 March 2005; submission of the Technical Initial Report shall be NLT 30 June 2005. The Government will review the reports and, if necessary, provide review comments to the contractor.

Fleet Training Architecture Reliability and Capabilities Study Final Reports. The contractor shall provide final reports, one each covering Technical Factors and Non-Technical Factors, to a distribution list provided by the PEO IWS 1B Test Director. These reports shall include, but not be limited to, incorporation of government review comments on initial reports, and results of additional data collection and analysis efforts conducted after submission of the initial reports. Submission of the final reports shall be NLT completion of the POP of this SOW.

Task DELIVERABLES.

Fleet Training Architecture Capabilities Factors Measurement and Analysis Plan; preliminary due four (4) months after contract award; subsequent submissions due as required IAW instructions provided in SOW paragraph 2.2; final due 30 May 2005; developed as required IAW instructions provided in SOW paragraph 2.2; submitted to the PEO IWS 1B Test Director.

Monthly Status Reports; due No Later Than (NLT) the 5th business day of each calendar month within the SOW POP, starting with July 2004; developed IAW instructions provided in SOW paragraph 2.2; submitted to the PEO IWS 1A5 Test Director.

Trouble Reports; preliminary versions due within one (1) week of problem identification; final versions due within three (3) business days of receipt of Government review comments on preliminary versions; developed IAW instructions provided in SOW paragraph 2.2; submitted to the PEO IWS 1A5 Test Director and BFTT Certification Test Director.

Daily Test Reports; due within one (1) day of each test shift during a scheduled test event; developed IAW instructions provided in SOW paragraph 2.2; submitted to the PEO IWS 1A5 Test Director.

Fleet Training Architecture Reliability and Capabilities Study Initial Report; Non-Technical Initial Report due 18 March 2005; Technical Initial Report due 30 June 2005; developed IAW instructions provided in SOW paragraph 2.2; submitted to the PEO IWS 1B Test Director.

Fleet Training Architecture Reliability and Capabilities Study Final Reports; due on 31 July 2005; developed IAW instructions provided in SOW paragraph 2.2; submitted to the PEO IWS 1B Test Director.

3.0 ADP ENVIRONMENT

Standard government systems, Microsoft OS, Novell, and PC's, unless specified.

4.0 DELIVERABLES AND DELIVERY

All deliverables must meet professional standards and meet the requirements set forth in contractual documentation. The contractor will be responsible for delivering all end items specified. The following items are deliverables which fall within the scope of this task and which are illustrative of the type of work the Government expects to order.

4.1 Reports. In addition to the reports listed above, additional reports may be requested. Monthly status reports must be submitted to the PEO IWS 1A5 Test Director no later than the 5th workday of every month. Reports shall be discussed during the monthly task management review meeting. Progress reports must be submitted on the prime contractor letterhead and be accompanied by a copy of that month's invoice. The monthly Progress Report will include, but not limited too: Contract number, Order number and Project number; brief task description; and a narrative review of work accomplished during the reporting period and/or significant events.

4.2 Schedule. In accordance with Section C

4.3 Delivery Instructions. In accordance with Section F

4.4 Criteria for Acceptance. In accordance with Section E

5.0 GOVERNMENT FURNISHED AND CONTRACTOR ACQUIRED RESOURCES

5.1 General. The contractor must specifically identify in the task proposal the type, amount, and time frames

for any government resources that are required and not listed below. The Government Representative will provide the following resources:

5.2 Facilities, Supplies and Services. Lab space, office supplies, computer equipment and time, telephone, and reproduction facilities as required.

5.3 Information. The following information will be provided by the Government Representative:

5.3.1 Manuals, texts, briefs and other materials associated with the hardware/software noted in paragraph 2.0 of this SOW.

5.3.2 Initial familiarization/orientation will be provided by the Government Agency. Standard Operational Procedures will be available to the contractor at the place of performance.

5.4 Contractor Acquired Property. Materials are to be provided by the contractor when essential to the task performance, noted in this SOW, and specifically approved by the GPM/GCR, or contracting officer for amounts not to exceed the ceiling price identified prior to purchase. All materials provided by the contractor for the use or ownership of the Federal Government, becomes the property of the Federal Government. All software/code purchased, provided, written, or developed under this contract for the use or ownership of the Federal Government, becomes the property of the Federal Government. The contractor in the monthly status report shall document the transfer of all materials.

6.0 Clearances. Work under this TOS will be conducted up to the SECRET level. Contractor facility storage of classified material may be required. The contractor shall abide by all AGENCY rules, procedures and standards of conduct. The AGR will provide the contractor a DD Form 254. The contractor's request for visit authorization shall be submitted in accordance with DoD 5220.22M (Industrial Security Manual for Safeguarding Classified Material) not later than one (1) week prior to visit.

7.0 Place of Performance. Work is to be performed at the following on-site location(s): contractor's facilities, ships, shore sites, industrial facilities and various other sites as proposed, indicated, and/or required in the statement of work.

8.0 Travel. Travel must be necessary for the performance of the contractual duties and must be pre-approved by the client. Reasonable costs to include meals, lodging, transportation, and associated expenses to be negotiated on an occurring basis with the UPM.

8.0 SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS

8.1 General. All documents and deliverables described in this SOW and amendments or modifications, shall be submitted in a professional manner and on the prime contractor's letterhead. All work (hardware, software and services) will be Year 2000 Compliant.

8.2 SECTION 508 COMPLIANCE SPECIFICATION

All 508 compliance and compliance issues are to be addressed by the Government customer and the contractor. The contracting office holds no responsibility for compliance with this standard.

(1) Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. 794d) requires Federal agencies acquiring Electronic and Information Technology (EIT) to ensure that Federal employees with disabilities have access to and use of information and data that is comparable to the access and use by Federal employees who are not individuals with disabilities.

(2) All EIT procured under this contract/order must meet the following 36 CFR 1194 accessibility standards. The full text of the accessibility standards is available at:
<http://www.access-board.gov/sec508/508standards.htm>

___ 1194.21 - Software Applications and Operating Systems

___ 1194.22 - Web Based Intranet and Internet Information and Applications.

___ 1194.23 - Telecommunications Products.

___ 1194.24 - Video and Multimedia Products.

___ 1194.25 - Self-contained, Closed Products.

___ 1194.26 - Desktop and Portable Computers.

___1194.31 - Functional Performance Criteria

(3) The standards do not require the installation of specific accessibility-related software or the attachment of an assistive technology device(s), but merely require that the EIT be compatible with such software and device(s) so that it can be made accessible if so required in the future.

(4) Contractors may propose products or services that result in substantially equivalent or greater access to and use by individuals with disabilities; this is known as equivalent facilitation.

8.3 Privacy Act. Work on this project requires that personnel have access to Privacy Information. Personnel shall adhere to the Privacy Act, Title 5 of the U.S. Code, Section 552a and applicable agency rules and regulations.

--End of Text--

CONTRACT NO. N00178-04-D-4092	DELIVERY ORDER NO. 000202	PAGE 10 of 15
----------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------

SECTION D PACKAGING AND MARKING

Packaging and Marking shall be in accordance with the SeaPort-e Multiple Award Contract.

SECTION E INSPECTION AND ACCEPTANCE

Inspection and Acceptance shall be in accordance with the SeaPort-e Multiple Award Contract.

Inspection and Acceptance for CLIN 0002 shall be in accordance with Section E of the SeaPort-e Multiple Award IDIQ contract and supplemented by the following performance assessment standard:

Task Order Performance Standard:

Monthly status reports submitted to the Task Order Manager under subject Task Order shall identify the work that had been performed during the month, deliverables that had been submitted, and the name of the Government representative that had received the deliverable. The Task Order Manager will be required on a monthly basis to rate the quality of deliverables in terms of timeliness and quality on a rating scale of one (1) to five (5). The rating scale is specified in the table and defined below:

Rating Number	Rating Description
5	Significantly Exceeds Expectation
4	Exceeds Expectation
3	Meets Expectation
2	Barely Meets Expectation
1	Fails to Meet Expectation

Task Order acceptance will be made by the Task Order Manager upon the Contractor having achieved an overall rating of all deliverables, of "Meets Expectation" or better.

Rating Definitions:

Significantly Exceeds Expectation: Deliverables are completed on or prior to their respective due date 100% of the time without further revisions being required.

Exceeds Expectation: Deliverables are completed on or prior to their respective due date 100% of the time with only minor revisions being required on approximately 5% of items submitted. The required rework does not negatively impact upon the respective program.

Meets Expectation: Deliverables are completed on or prior to their respective due date 100% of the time with minor revisions being required on approximately 10% of items submitted. The required rework does not negatively impact upon the respective program.

Barely Meets Expectation: Deliverables are completed on or prior to their respective due date approximately 95% of the time with minor revisions being required on approximately 15% of items submitted. The delayed submission and required rework of deliverables results in a minor negative impact to the respective program.

Fails to Meet Expectation: Deliverables are completed on or prior to their respective due date less than 90% of the time with significant revisions being required on greater than 15% of items submitted. The delayed submission and required rework of deliverables results in a significant negative impact to the respective program.

SECTION F DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE

CLIN - DELIVERIES OR PERFORMANCE

0002AA 7/6/2004 - 7/31/2005
0002AB 7/6/2004 - 7/31/2005

SECTION G CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION DATA

Task Order Manager
Anne Geinzer
53090 Exercise Street
Trailer T63A
San Diego, CA 92152-7366
Voice: (619) 553-1005
Email: anne.geinzer@navy.mil

SECTION H SPECIAL CONTRACT REQUIREMENTS

Special Contract Requirements (Section H Clauses) shall be in accordance with Section H of SeaPort-e Multiple Award IDIQ contracts.

INFORMATION ON DIGITAL FORMAT

The Contractor shall populate Livelink, the standard document management system for NAVSEA, with invoices, status reports, and data deliverables. Immediately after contract award, Livelink accounts will be created for specified contractor accounts, a Livelink project will be created for the contract, and the contractor specified will receive Livelink training. The Contractor shall provide on-line access to and delivery of programmatic and technical data in digital form.

SECTION I CONTRACT CLAUSES

Section I clauses for Fixed Price Orders are contained in the SeaPort-e Basic Multiple Award Contract.

SECTION J LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

DD 254 DoD Contract Security Classification Specification

Finalized DD 254 DoD Contract Security Classification Specification